1 Honorable Theresa L. Fricke 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 7 3rd AVE SW LLC and JHA FAMILY LLC. 8 Plaintiffs. No. 24-cv-00552 TLF 9 DEFENDANT JIMENEZ'S ANSWER v. 10 TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT RYAN JIMENEZ, ODIN PROPERTY TAX 11 ADVOCATES LLC, a Colorado limited liability **JURY DEMAND** company, and KING COUNTY, a political 12 subdivision, 13 Defendants. 14 <u>ANSWER</u> 15 I. INTRODUCTION 16 Plaintiffs' "Introduction" does not contain factual allegations that require an answer. 17 Therefore, all statements directed at Defendant Jimenez are denied. 18 II. **PARTIES** 19 1. To the best of my knowledge, admit. 20 2. To the best of my knowledge, admit. 21 3. The allegations in this paragraph are not directed at Defendant Jimenez. They are 22 therefore denied. 23 4. To the best of my knowledge, admit. Bundy Law Group, PLLC DEFENDANT JIMENEZ'S ANSWER P.O. Box 2543 Bellingham, WA 98227 TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT - 1 (206) 372-3627

1	5.	I admit the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 5. I deny the allegations	
2	in the second sentence.		
3	6.	I deny the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 6. I admit the allegations	
4	in the second sentence.		
5	7.	Admit.	
6	8.	I admit the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 8, and that prior to being	
7	hired by King County I had not worked as an appraiser before. I deny the remainder of the		
8	allegations in paragraph 8.		
9	9.	I deny the allegations in paragraph 9.	
10	10.	I admit that I have been an accredited appraiser since 2017 and a Certified	
11	General Appraiser 2022. I deny the remainder of the allegations in paragraph 10.		
12	11.	I deny the allegations in paragraph 11.	
13	12.	I admit that I received my Certified General Appraiser's license in Washington in	
14	2022. I deny the remainder of the allegations in paragraph 12.		
15	13.	I deny the allegations in paragraph 13.	
16	14.	I deny that the allegations in paragraph 14 are accurate.	
17	15.	I deny that the allegations in paragraph 15 are accurate.	
18	16.	I deny the allegations in paragraph 16.	
19	17.	I deny the allegations in paragraph 17.	
20	18.	I deny the allegations in paragraph 18.	
21	19.	I deny the allegations in paragraph 19.	
22	20.	I deny the allegations in paragraph 20.	
23			

1	21. I believe there was likely a probable cause affidavit and, if so, that the affidavit		
2	speaks for itself. I deny all other allegations in paragraph 21.		
3	22. I deny the allegations in paragraph 22.		
4	III. JURIDICTION AND VENUE		
5	23. Denied as to Defendant Jimenez.		
6	24. Admit as to Defendant Jimenez.		
7	IV. FACTS		
8	25-29. I am no longer involved with taxation matters regarding Plaintiffs and I		
9	defer to the answers of King County. To the extent that any allegations in these paragraphs are		
10	directed at me, they are denied.		
11	4-6. I am no longer involved with taxation matters regarding Plaintiffs and I		
12	defer to the answers of King County. To the extent that any allegations in these paragraphs are		
13	directed at me, they are denied.		
14	7. I deny the allegations in paragraph 7.		
15	8. I admit I sent an email to Plaintiffs in August 2021 and that the email speaks for		
16	itself. All other allegations in paragraph 8 are denied.		
17	9. I am no longer involved with taxation matters regarding Plaintiffs and I defer to		
18	the answers of King County. To the extent that any allegations in paragraph 9 are directed at me		
19	they are denied.		
20	10-15. I am no longer involved with taxation matters regarding Plaintiffs and I		
21	defer to the answers of King County. To the extent that any allegations in these paragraphs are		
22	directed at me, they are denied.		
23	16. I deny the allegations in paragraph 16.		
	Bundy Law Group, PLLC DEFENDANT HMENEZ'S ANSWED P.O. Box 2543		

1	46.	I deny the allegations paragraph 46.
2	47.	I deny the allegations paragraph 47.
3	48.	I deny the allegations paragraph 48.
4	49.	I deny the allegations paragraph 49.
5	50.	I deny the allegations paragraph 50.
6	51.	I deny the allegations paragraph 51.
7	52.	I deny the allegations paragraph 52.
8	53.	I deny the allegations paragraph 53.
9	54.	I deny the allegations paragraph 54.
0	55.	I deny the allegations paragraph 55.
1	56.	I deny the allegations paragraph 56.
2	57.	I deny the allegations paragraph 57.
3	58.	I deny the allegations paragraph 58.
4	59.	I deny the allegations paragraph 59.
5	60.	I deny the allegations paragraph 60.
6	61.	I deny the allegations paragraph 61.
7	62.	I deny the allegations paragraph 62.
8	63.	I deny all allegations directed at me in paragraph 63.
9	64 – 6	77. I am no longer involved with taxation matters regarding Plaintiffs and I defer to
20	the answers o	f King County. To the extent that any allegations in paragraph $64 - 67$ are directed
21	at me, they are denied.	
22	68.	I deny all allegations directed at me in paragraph 68.
23	69.	I deny all allegations directed at me in paragraph 69.
	1	

1	103.	I deny all allegations directed at me in paragraph 103.
2	104.	Admit.
3	105.	Admit.
4	106.	Admit.
5	107.	I admit only that the contact information and Odin logo are accurate, and that
6	Odin was acti	ve in Colorado for a short time while I worked at the Assessor's Office. All other
7	allegations in paragraph 107 are denied.	
8	108.	I admit only that the Facebook page cited speaks for itself. All other allegations
9	in paragraph 108 are denied.	
10	109.	I admit only that I marketed Odin for work in Colorado. All other allegations in
11	paragraph 109 are denied.	
12	110.	I admit only that the Facebook post cited is accurate and speaks for itself. All
13	other allegation	ons in paragraph 110 are denied.
14	111.	I admit only that the LinkedIn pages cited are accurate and speak for themselves.
15	All other allegations in paragraph 111 are denied.	
16	112.	I deny all allegations in paragraph 112.
17	113.	I admit only that at one point that I had two LinkedIn profiles. All other
18	allegations in	paragraph 113 are denied.
19	114.	I admit only that for a short period of time that I did business in Colorado through
20	Odin. All oth	ner allegations in paragraph 114 are denied.
21	115.	I admit only that I have lawfully earned overtime pay while at King County. All
22	other allegations directed at me in paragraph 115 are denied.	
23	116.	I deny all allegations in paragraph 116.

1	117.	I deny all allegations in paragraph 117.
2	118.	The King County Code speaks for itself.
3	119.	I deny all allegations in paragraph 119.
4	120.	I deny all allegations in paragraph 120.
5	121 – 1	124. I deny all allegations in paragraphs 120 – 124.
6	125.	I deny all allegations directed at me in paragraph 125.
7	V	V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
8	126.	All of my previous answers are reasserted here.
9	127.	Denied.
10	128.	Denied.
11	129.	Denied.
12	130.	Denied.
13	VI. SECO	OND CAUSE OF ACTION: MANIFEST ERROR REFUND UNDER RCW 84.69
14	131.	All of my previous answers are reasserted here.
15	132.	Denied.
16	133.	Denied.
17	134.	Denied.
18	135.	Denied.
19	VII.	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF 42 § 1983, FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT (DUE PROCESS CLAUSE)
20	126	
21	136.	All of my previous answers are reasserted here.
22	137.	Denied. Ladmit only that the Fourteenth Amendment speaks for itself. Otherwise denied
23	138.	I admit only that the Fourteenth Amendment speaks for itself. Otherwise, denied.

1	139. I lack the knowledge necessary to answer this legal conclusion. Therefore,
2	denied.
3	140. Denied.
4	141 - 149. All allegations directed at me in paragraphs $141 - 149$ are denied.
5	VIII. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF 42 USC § 1983, FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT (EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE)
6 7	150. All of my previous answers are reasserted here.
8	151. I admit only that the Fourteenth Amendment speaks for itself. Otherwise, denied
9	152. I lack the knowledge necessary to answer this legal conclusion. Therefore,
10	denied.
11	153 - 162. All allegations directed at me in paragraphs $153 - 162$ are denied.
12	IX. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION: CIVIL EXTORTION
13	163. All of my previous answers are reasserted here.
14	164 – 169. All allegations in paragraphs 164 – 169 are denied.
15	X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF
16	1-11. I deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.
17	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
18	1. Plaintiffs Complaint should be dismissed because it violates FRCP 8(2).
19	2. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
20	3. Plaintiffs' claims against Defendant Jimenez are barred by qualified immunity.
21	4. Plaintiffs failed to comply with the requirements of RCW 84.69 before bringing
22	this action.
23	5. Plaintiffs failed to exhaust their administrative processes and remedies.
	6. Plaintiffs failed to exhaust their judicial processes and remedies.
	Bundy Law Group, PLLC DEFENDANT JIMENEZ'S ANSWER P.O. Box 2543 Pollinghom, WA 08227

TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT - 10

1	7.	Defendant Jimenez has not been served with	n the summons and complaint.	
2	8. The Court lacks personal jurisdiction over Defendant Jimenez.		Defendant Jimenez.	
3	9. Some of Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the statute of limitations.			
4	10.	Plaintiffs failed to file a claim for damages a	as required by RCW 4.96.020.	
5	11.	Plaintiffs' claim for injunctive relief fails on	its merits and because they have or	
6	had adequate remedies at law.			
7	12.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred by collateral est	coppel.	
8	13.	Plaintiffs' claims are barred by judicial estop	ppel.	
9	14.	Defendant Jimenez was not the proximate ca	ause of Plaintiffs' claimed damages.	
10	15.	There were superseding and intervening cau	ses to Defendant Jimenez's actions.	
11	16.	Plaintiffs have failed to name an indispensal	ole party.	
12	17.	Plaintiffs lack standing to seek damages und	ler RCW 84.09.040.	
13	18.	This lawsuit is premised on the allegations the	hat Defendant Jimenez tried to bribe	
14	and extort them and the like. Plaintiffs know that these allegations are false. Defendant Jimenez			
15	is entitled to attorney's fees and costs under 42 USC § 1988.			
16	Having answered Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendant Jimenez asks that the Complaint be			
17	dismissed with prejudice.			
18	DATED this 29th day of April, 2024			
19				
20	,			
21				
22				
23	E-mail: kris@bundylawgroup.com Special Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Attorney for Defendant Ryan Jimenez			
	DEFENDAN'	Τ JIMENEZ'S ANSWER	Bundy Law Group, PLLC P.O. Box 2543	

TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT - 11

P.O. Box 2543 Bellingham, WA 98227 (206) 372-3627

STATEMENT OF FILING On April 29, 2024, I e-filed this document via ECF which will provide service to all parties of record. /s Kristofer J. Bundy KRISTOFER J. BUNDY, WSBA #19840 Special Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Attorney for Defendant Ryan Jimenez